

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/006,078 | 12/06/2001 | Albert Zhongxing Yao | AUS920011016US1 | 8817 |
| 34533 | 7590 11/02/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| IBM CORP (BLF) | | | ALI, MOHAMMAD | |
| c/o BIGGERS & OHANIAN, LLP 504 LAVACA STREET, SUITE 970 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| AUSTIN, TX | | | . 2167 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/02/200 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

| | Applica | ition No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | ,078 | YAO, ALBERT ZHONGXING | | | |
| Office Action Summa | Examin | er | Art Unit | | | |
| | Moham | mad Ali | 2167 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2001. | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | · | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to the application filed on December 6, 2001.

The application has been examined. Claims 1-16 are pending in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anand et at. ('Anand' hereinafter), USP 5,692,181 in view of Rosensteel et al. (Rosensteel' hereinafter), USP 6,167,405.

With respect to claim 1,

Anand discloses a method of deploying a predefined data warehouse process model from a development system having a development environment to a customer system having a customer environment, the customer environment being different from the development environment (see col. 5, lines 21-28), the method comprising the steps of:

exporting metadata from the predefined warehouse process model to an interchange metadata file, wherein the metadata comprises data elements

Art Unit: 2167

describing a development environment, wherein the metadata comprises at least some data elements having values that are site dependent (see col. 9, lines 40-51, Anand);

copying, from the interchange metadata file to an interchange resource file, site dependent data elements (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Anand);

identifying site dependent data values of the customer system (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand);

converting, in the interchange metadata file, the site dependent data element values to the site dependent data values of the customer system (see col. 11, lines 35-39, Anand); and

importing the interchange metadata file into the customer system (see col. 11, lines 35-39, Anand).

Anand does not explicitly indicate the claimed importing.

Rosensteel discloses claimed importing (the administrator selects the data models of the source databases to be analyzed and imports them into a commodity database design tool that the warehouse designer client component interfaces, see col. 10, lines 50-53, Rosensteel).

It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references, because importing of Resensteel's teaching would have allowed Anand's system to access the databases and populating data in the data warehouses as suggested by Rosensteel, at col. 1, lines 8-10. Importing as

Art Unit: 2167

taught by Rosensteel improves to complete the information that will be needed by the warehouse data replication manager (see col. 3, lines 23-24, Rosensteel).

As to claim 2,

Anand teaches identifying site dependent data elements (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand).

As to claim 3,

Anand teaches the site dependent data elements include language dependent data elements (see col. 4, lines 49-55 et seq, Anand), and

identifying site dependent data elements includes identifying language dependent data elements (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand).

As to claim 4,

Anand teaches building the predefined warehouse process model with unique names for site dependent data elements and with unique names for databases, database users, and table schemas (see col. 11, lines 62-63 and Fig. 1, Anand).

As to claim 5,

Anand teaches copying, from the interchange metadata file to an interchange resource file, site dependent data elements further comprises copying, from the interchange metadata file into a translation resource file, language dependent data elements (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Fig. 1 et seq., Anand);

Art Unit: 2167

the method further comprises translating the language dependent data elements into one or more customer languages and recording the translations in related fields in the translation resource file (see col. 5, lines 18-20, Anand);

identifying site dependent data values of the customer system further comprises identifying a customer language of the customer system (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand); and

converting, in the interchange metadata file, the site dependent data element values to the site dependent data values of the customer system further comprises converting language dependent data elements to the customer language of the customer site (see col. 11, lines 35-39, Anand).

With respect to claim 6,

Anand discloses a method of deploying a predefined data warehouse process model from a development system having a development environment to a customer system having a customer environment, the customer environment being different from the development environment (see col. 5, lines 21-28), the method comprising the steps of:

identifying data elements having values that are site dependent (see col. 4, , lines 49-50, Anand);

identifying data elements having values that are language dependent (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand);

building the predefined warehouse process model with unique names for site dependent data elements and with unique names for databases, database users, and table schemas see col. 11, lines 62-63 and Fig. 1, Anand);

Art Unit: 2167

exporting metadata from the predefined warehouse process model to an interchange metadata file, wherein the metadata comprises data elements describing a development environment, wherein the metadata comprises at least some data elements having values that are site dependent (see col. 9, lines 40-51, Anand);

copying, from the interchange metadata file into a translation resource file, the language dependent data elements (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Anand);

copying, from the interchange metadata file to an interchange resource file, the site dependent data elements (see col. 6, lines 30-33 et seq., Fig. 1, Anand);

translating the language dependent data elements into one or more customer languages and recording the translations in related fields in the translation resource file identifying a customer language of the customer system (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Fig. 1 et seq., Anand);

identifying site dependent data values of the customer system (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Anand);

converting language dependent data elements to the customer language of the customer site converting, in the interchange metadata file, in dependence upon the contents of the translation resource file, the customer language, and the contents of the interchange resource file, the site dependent data element values to the site dependent data values of the customer system (see col. 11, lines 35-39, Anand); and

Art Unit: 2167

importing the interchange metadata file into the customer system (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand).

Anand does not explicitly indicate the claimed importing.

Rosensteel discloses claimed importing (the administrator selects the data models of the source databases to be analyzed and imports them into a commodity database design tool that the warehouse designer client component interfaces, see col. 10, lines 50-53, Rosensteel).

It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references, because importing of Resensteel's teaching would have allowed Anand's system to access the databases and populating data in the data warehouses as suggested by Rosensteel, at col. 1, lines 8-10. Importing as taught by Rosensteel improves to complete the information that will be needed by the warehouse data replication manager (see col. 3, lines 23-24, Rosensteel).

With respect to claim 7,

Anand discloses a system of deploying a predefined data warehouse process model from a development system having a development environment to a customer system having a customer environment, the customer environment being different from the development environment (see col. 5, lines 21-28), the system comprising:

means for exporting metadata from the predefined warehouse process model to an interchange metadata file, wherein the metadata comprises data elements describing a development environment, wherein the metadata

Art Unit: 2167

comprises at least some data elements having values that are site dependent (see col. 9, lines 40-51, Anand);

means for copying, from the interchange metadata file to an interchange resource file, site dependent data elements (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Anand);

means for identifying site dependent data values of the customer system (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand);

means for converting, in the interchange metadata file, the site dependent data element values to the site dependent data values of the customer system (see col. 11, lines 35-39, Anand); and

means for importing the interchange metadata file into the customer system (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand).

Anand does not explicitly indicate the claimed importing.

Rosensteel discloses claimed importing (the administrator selects the data models of the source databases to be analyzed and imports them into a commodity database design tool that the warehouse designer client component interfaces, see col. 10, lines 50-53, Rosensteel).

It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references, because importing of Resensteel's teaching would have allowed Anand's system to access the databases and populating data in the data warehouses as suggested by Rosensteel, at col. 1, lines 8-10. Importing as taught by Rosensteel improves to complete the information that will be needed by the warehouse data replication manager (see col. 3, lines 23-24, Rosensteel).

Art Unit: 2167

As to claim 8,

Anand teaches means for identifying site dependent data elements (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand).

As to claim 9,

Anand teaches the site dependent data elements include language dependent data elements, and identifying site dependent data elements includes means for identifying language dependent data elements (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand).

As to claim 10,

Anand teaches means for building the predefined warehouse process model with unique names for site dependent data elements and with unique names for databases, database users, and table schemas (see col. 11, lines 62-63 and Fig. 1, Anand).

As to claim 11,

Anand teaches means for copying, from the interchange metadata file to an interchange resource file, site dependent data elements further comprises means for copying, from the interchange metadata file into a translation resource file, language dependent data elements (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Anand);

the system further comprises means for translating the language dependent data elements into one or more customer languages and means for recording the translations in related fields in the translation resource file (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Fig. 1 et seq., Anand);

Art Unit: 2167

means for identifying site dependent data values of the customer system further comprises means for identifying a customer language of the customer system (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand); and

means for converting, in the interchange metadata file, the site dependent data element values to the site dependent data values of the customer system further comprises means for converting language dependent data elements to the customer language of the customer site (see col. 11, lines 35-39, Anand).

With respect to claim 12,

Anand discloses a computer program product of deploying a predefined data warehouse process model from a development system having a development environment to a customer system having a customer environment, the customer environment being different from the development environment (see col. 5, lines 21-28), the computer program product comprising:

a recording medium, means, recorded on the recording medium, for exporting metadata from the predefined warehouse process model to an interchange metadata file, wherein the metadata comprises data elements describing a development environment, wherein the metadata comprises at least some data elements having values that are site dependent (see col. 9, lines 40-51, Anand);

means, recorded on the recording medium, for copying, from the interchange metadata file to an interchange resource file, site dependent data elements (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Anand);

Art Unit: 2167

means, recorded on the recording medium, for identifying site dependent data values of the customer system (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand);

means, recorded on the recording medium, for converting, in the interchange metadata file, the site dependent data element values to the site dependent data values of the customer system; and

means, recorded on the recording medium, for importing the interchange metadata file into the customer system (see col. 11, lines 35-39, Anand).

Anand does not explicitly indicate the claimed importing.

Rosensteel discloses claimed importing (the administrator selects the data models of the source databases to be analyzed and imports them into a commodity database design tool that the warehouse designer client component interfaces, see col. 10, lines 50-53, Rosensteel).

It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references, because importing of Resensteel's teaching would have allowed Anand's system to access the databases and populating data in the data warehouses as suggested by Rosensteel, at col. 1, lines 8-10. Importing as taught by Rosensteel improves to complete the information that will be needed by the warehouse data replication manager (see col. 3, lines 23-24, Rosensteel).

As to claim 13,

Anand teaches means, recorded on the recording medium, for identifying site dependent data elements (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand).

As to claim 14,

Art Unit: 2167

Anand teaches the site dependent data elements include language dependent data elements, and identifying site dependent data elements includes means, recorded on the recording medium, for identifying language dependent data elements (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand).

As to claim 15,

Anand teaches means, recorded on the recording medium, for building the predefined warehouse process model with unique names for site dependent data elements and with unique names for databases, database users, and table schemas (see col. 11, lines 62-63 and Fig. 1, Anand).

As to claim 16,

Anand teaches means, recorded on the recording medium, for copying, from the interchange metadata file to an interchange resource file, site dependent data elements further comprises means, recorded on the recording medium, for copying, from the interchange metadata file into a translation resource file, language dependent data elements (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Anand);

the computer program product further comprises means, recorded on the recording medium, for translating the language dependent data elements into one or more customer languages and means, recorded on the recording medium, for recording the translations in related fields in the translation resource file (see col. 6, lines 30-33, Fig. 1 et seq., Anand);

means, recorded on the recording medium, for identifying site dependent data values of the customer system further comprises means, recorded on the

Art Unit: 2167

recording medium, for identifying a customer language of the customer system (see col. 11, lines 48-54, Anand); and

means, recorded on the recording medium, for converting, in the interchange metadata file, the site dependent data element values to the site dependent data values of the customer system further comprises means, recorded on the recording medium, for converting language dependent data elements to the customer language of the customer site (see col. 11, lines 35-39, Fig. 1, et seq., Anand).

Art Unit: 2167

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-4105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for any communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

MA

October 31, 2004

Mohammad Ali

Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 2177